


Report for CCI4EU Resource Centre - user experience & content survey

Response Counts



Totals: 28

1. Check the box (or boxes) that best describe(s) your current role.




Value	Percent	Responses
Cancer centre / hospital manager / administrator	26.7%	4
Clinician / physician / specialist (oncology, diagnostics, etc)	13.3%	2
Researcher or academic	13.3%	2
Project manager (EU / health infrastructure)	33.3%	5
Quality officer / Auditor / Accreditation specialist	20.0%	3
Other - Write In	6.7%	1

2. In which region or country do you primarily work?

ResponseID	Response
17	Sweden
19	Belgium
20	Germany
21	Germany
25	South-east Netherlands
28	Region Skåne
29	Portugal
30	Spain
31	Ireland
32	Spain
36	Slovenia
37	Spain
38	Slovenia
39	Slovenia
45	Norway


3. How often do you visit the CCI4EU Resource Centre website?



Value	Percent	Responses
Weekly	13.3%	2
Monthly	40.0%	6
A few times per year	33.3%	5
First time/rarely	13.3%	2

Totals: 15

4. What are your reasons for visiting the site today? (click all that apply)



Value	Percent	Responses
Looking for guidance or best practices	46.7%	7
Seeking training materials, courses or webinars	60.0%	9
Searching for a particular document or resource	53.3%	8
Learning about capacity building / infrastructures in cancer care	26.7%	4
Preparing a policy / proposal / grant	20.0%	3
Looking for information on the CCI maturity model and/or Maturity Model Web Tool tool	33.3%	5
Showing/presenting the resource centre to colleagues	33.3%	5
Other - Write In	6.7%	1

Other - Write In

Count


Totals

0

5. In what circumstances (project, work need, planning, grant application, teaching etc) do you tend to use this site? Please briefly describe a recent example

ResponseID	Response
17	In the work done with other EU projects. Distributing information to researchers, healthcare professionals.
19	I looked for examples of patient pathways.
20	For patient pathway consultation projects and development workshops in CCCs / CCCNs
21	project and work need
28	Planning a regional network
29	Checking guidelines, best practices, etc.
30	project
31	Preparing documentation - guidance on strategies/SOPs etc
32	Good examples and planning
36	i was looking for examples that I needed for teaching
37	looking for resources or training materials for colleagues and other projects
38	I mainly use the CCI4EU Resource Centre for EU project work and planning. Recently, I looked it over to find general approaches and ideas for cancer care and project development.
39	Building multidisciplinary approach and meetings.
45	Teaching and grant application, to assess current situation


6. The site is easy to navigate (menus, links, filtering etc)



Value	Percent	Responses
Disagree	8.3%	1
Neutral	8.3%	1
Agree	16.7%	2
Strongly agree	66.7%	8

Totals: 12


7. The search functionality returns relevant results.



Value	Percent	Responses
Disagree	8.3%	1
Neutral	8.3%	1
Agree	25.0%	3
Strongly agree	58.3%	7

Totals: 12


8. The layout, design, and readability of pages make it easy to consume content (fonts, spacing, headings).



Value	Percent	Responses
Agree	41.7%	5
Strongly agree	50.0%	6
Not applicable	8.3%	1

Totals: 12


9. The site loads quickly and performance is acceptable.



Value	Percent	Responses
Agree	41.7%	5
Strongly agree	58.3%	7

Totals: 12


10. The site is mobile-friendly / works well on my device (tablet, phone, etc.).



Value	Percent	Responses
Neutral	41.7%	5
Agree	16.7%	2
Strongly agree	8.3%	1
Not applicable	33.3%	4

Totals: 12


11. I can easily filter or sort resources (by theme, format, audience) to find what I need.



Value	Percent	Responses
Neutral	16.7%	2
Agree	41.7%	5
Strongly agree	41.7%	5

Totals: 12


12. The hierarchy of information (themes, menus) makes sense and follows my expectations.



Value	Percent	Responses
Agree	50.0%	6
Strongly agree	41.7%	5
Not applicable	8.3%	1

Totals: 12


13. I rarely encounter broken links, missing pages, or errors.



Value	Percent	Responses
Neutral	8.3%	1
Agree	41.7%	5
Strongly agree	50.0%	6

Totals: 12

14. Overall, I am satisfied with the usability of the site.



Value	Percent	Responses
Neutral	8.3%	1
Agree	25.0%	3
Strongly agree	66.7%	8

Totals: 12

15. If there is one usability improvement you would prioritize, what would it be?

ResponseID	Response
------------	----------

38	It would be useful to have a simpler overview or landing page. This page should clearly show important resources and guide users quickly to the most relevant content.
----	--


45	Index search
----	--------------

16. If there is one usability improvement you would prioritize, what would it be? - Text Analysis

Percent

No data to display


17. The topics and themes covered on this site are relevant to my work.



Value	Percent	Responses
Agree	41.7%	5
Strongly agree	58.3%	7

Totals: 12


18. The depth of information in the resources is appropriate (not too shallow, not too technical).



Value	Percent	Responses
Neutral	16.7%	2
Agree	50.0%	6
Strongly agree	33.3%	4

Totals: 12


19. The diversity of resource types (guides, toolkits, case studies, webinars, etc.) is adequate.



Value	Percent	Responses
Neutral	33.3%	4
Agree	25.0%	3
Strongly agree	41.7%	5

Totals: 12


20. The content is up to date / current.



Value	Percent	Responses
Neutral	16.7%	2
Agree	41.7%	5
Strongly agree	33.3%	4
Not applicable	8.3%	1

Totals: 12


21. The resources are trustworthy, credible, and well referenced.



Value	Percent	Responses
Agree	33.3%	4
Strongly agree	66.7%	8

Totals: 12

22. Overall, I am satisfied with the content quality and relevance.



Value	Percent	Responses
Neutral	8.3%	1
Agree	50.0%	6
Strongly agree	41.7%	5

Totals: 12

23. Are there any topics or themes underrepresented or missing, or do you have any other comments on the current contents of the resource centre?

ResponseID **Response**

31 More biobanking SOPs and strategy examples

32 AYA

38 It would help to add more practical examples and summaries of key resources. This way, users with limited time can quickly grasp and use the information.

45 Basic research resources, eg. bioinformatics and core facilities

24. What new types of content or features would you like to see (e.g. interactive tools, templates, data visualizations, webinars, checklists, peer forums)?

ResponseID **Response**


31 Templates

32 Webinars

38 It would be helpful to have more practical tools like templates, short checklists, and simple interactive elements. Occasional webinars to discuss key topics would also be useful.

45 Checklists and peer forums

25. Has using the site ever directly influenced or improved a decision, plan, project, or policy in your work?



Value	Percent	Responses
Yes	33.3%	4
Unsure / maybe	58.3%	7
Not applicable	8.3%	1

Totals: 12

26. If yes, please briefly describe one instance where a resource or insight from this site made a practical difference.

ResponseID Response

20 guiding materials for Deep Dive team (to support the running patient pathway development process)

31 Used example cooperation agreements and checklists for our deep dive discussions

32 Patient pathways

39 Implementing shared decision making in everyday practice.

27. What is your biggest challenge now in your area of work related to capacity building, cancer infrastructure or research?

ResponseID Response

32 governance and patient pathways

37 Resources for more specific topics, eg precision medicine

38 One of the main challenges is bringing together project requirements, funding rules, and practical implementation. This is especially difficult when working with different stakeholders and systems.

39 Improving clinical research.

45 Funding for clinical research in the hospital and integration of translational research

28. Thinking about the future development and sustainability of the resource centre, do you have any other comments, suggestions, criticisms, or ideas for improvements?


ResponseID	Response
-------------------	-----------------

20	It should be kept running, perhaps integrate it with EUnetCCC capacity building platform to spread its use
----	--

37	It would be great merging the resource center with the resources from other past and ongoing european projects - a one-stop-shop for educational materials in oncology
----	--

38	It is important to ensure long-term sustainability and regular updates of the content. Continued promotion and integration with other EU initiatives could also help increase visibility and usage of the platform.
----	---

29. Finally, how likely are you to recommend the Resource Centre to a colleague?



Value	Percent	Responses
Very likely	41.7%	5
Likely	41.7%	5
Neutral	8.3%	1
Very unlikely	8.3%	1

Totals: 12

30. If you are willing to be contacted for follow-up or user-testing, please leave your email.

ResponseID

Response
